Capturing the detail from the biggest of ideas:

Pragmatism, versatility and proportionality
Long before Dahlgren and Whitehead...
• Considering the wider determinants of health and connectedness
• Potential for interaction between the many domains
• About being deliberate about what you are setting out to achieve

Social Value...
the additional benefit to a community from a commissioning/procurement process over and above the purchasing of goods, services, and outcomes
Sustainable Development...

...improving the economic, social, environmental and cultural well-being of Wales by taking action, in accordance with the sustainable development principle, aimed at achieving the well-being goals........
Why are we still considering these concepts?

Because just like describing an elephant, tackling WFG depends on where you stand to develop your perspective…
Sustainable Health Development..

In Public Health of course we would like to think that we already know and fully understand these wider linkages and how we need to work with and through other sectors.....
But just how practical are our tools for this widest of tasks?
Breaching through silos needs practical tools to be co-developed.
Can we keep it simple?

Health
Economic
Environmental
Cultural
Equal
Cohesive
Responsible
Resilient

Long term horizons

Prevention not cure or technical fix

Integration without losing essential knowledge and skills

Trust in a precautionary principle

Collaborate

Involve
The “Social Value” Audit Tool.....

Our key lesson is that dialogue is all!

Start by facilitating agreement amongst partners on a set of ten Key Elements

Starts with the generation of ten key elements and being specific about the expectations impacts and successes

Each element has specific questions designed to identify change and impact

The elements and questions are developed with the stakeholders to ensure their accuracy and acceptability as evidence

Alongside this is a crucial consideration of where these impacts may be captured

Assessment (self or by auditor) is with a simple scoring system

It enables benchmarking and learning between partners and
This is puzzling (in the best sense of the word) but it is achievable with access to prior learning and some tenacity procures surprising results.
For balance each social value theme has to have 2 questions in each of the categories.

Each question will be worth 10 points.

If the question is not a simple yes or no then we need to think of support criteria i.e. criteria for points and what people would expect to see.

The key factor is not the use of the tool but in its derivation with the stakeholders